| Bamberg 2 School District |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 62 Holly Avenue |  |  |
| Denmark, SC 29042.00 |  |  |
| Grades | PK-12 District |  |
| Enrollment | 835 Students |  |
| Superintendent | Dr. Thelma F. Sojourner | $803-793-3346$ |
| Board Chair | Larry D. Bias | $803-793-5929$ |

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2012 amma losisher REPORT CARD

| RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | ABSOLUTE RATING | GROWTH RATING |
| 2012 | Below Average | Good* |
| 2011 | Below Average | Good |
| 2010 | At-Risk | Average |
| 2009 | At-Risk | Below Average |
| 2008 | At-Risk | At-Risk |

* The District's 2012 Growth Rating was raised one level because of substantial improvement in the achievement of students belonging to historically underachieving groups of students. The Growth Rating may or may not have been affected by the performance of these groups in prior years.


## Definitions of School Rating Terms

- Excellent - School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good - School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average - School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average - School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk - School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision


## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

> | $\frac{\text { http://ed.sc.gov }}{\text { hitp://www.eoc.sc.gov }}$ |
| :--- |

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating
Percent of students tested in 2011-12 whose 2010-11 test scores were located 95.6\%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

| Excellent | Good | Average | Below Average | At-Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 |

Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/07/2012.


* Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with poverty indices of no more than $5 \%$ above or below the index for the district.

| Definition of Critical Terms |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Exemplary | "Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard. |
| Met | "Met" means the student met the grade level standard. |
| Not Met | "Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard. |

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students

|  | Our District |  |  | Districts with Students <br> Like Ours |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Passed both subtests | $60.3 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |
| Passed one subtest | $15.9 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ |
| Passed no subtests | $23.8 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ |

End of Course Tests

| Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on: | Our District | Districts with Students Like Ours |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2 | $77.6 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ |
| English 1 | $83.3 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ |
| Biology 1/Applied Biology 2 | $54.7 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ |
| US History and the Constitution | $24.6 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |
| All Subjects | $55.4 \%$ | $46.7 \%$ |

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate

|  | Our District |  | Districts with Students Like Ours |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort | 66 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Number of Graduates in Cohort | 46 | 61 | 157 | 161 |
| Rate | $69.7 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | 118 |

*Used to calculate current ESEA/Federal Accountability Grade.

| Five-Year Graduation Rate |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Our District |  | Districts with Students Like Ours |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Number of Students in Cohort | 79 | 66 | 159 | 160 |
| Number of Graduates in Cohort | 58 | 46 | 115 | 120 |
| Rate | $73.4 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $77.3 \%$ |


|  | Our District | Change from Last Year | Districts with Students Like Ours | Median District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students ( $\mathrm{n}=835$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| First graders who attended full-day kindergarten | 100.0\% | No Change | 100.0\% | 99.3\% |
| Retention rate | 3.2\% | Down from 4.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.0\% |
| Attendance rate | 98.3\% | Up from 97.8\% | 96.1\% | 96.1\% |
| Served by gifted and talented program | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| With disabilities other than speech | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Older than usual for grade | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses | 0.0\% | Down from 3.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 16.6\% | Up from 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 13.5\% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | N/A | N/A | 62.9\% | 49.9\% |
| Eligible for LIFE Scholarship | 28.8\% | Up from 25.9\% | 28.6\% | 30.3\% |
| Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs | 5 | Down from 10 | 28 | 59 |
| Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs | 4 | Down from 5 | 17 | 31 |
| Annual dropout rate | 3.8\% | Up from 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 2.7\% |
| Teachers ( $\mathrm{n}=67$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 64.2\% | Up from 62.9\% | 58.5\% | 62.4\% |
| Continuing contract teachers | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 79.4\% | Up from 77.0\% | 87.1\% | 91.1\% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 94.2\% | Down from 94.7\% | 94.9\% | 95.1\% |
| Average teacher salary* | \$41,197 | Down 3.3\% | \$42,505 | \$46,595 |
| Vacancies for more than nine weeks | 0.0\% | No Change | 0.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Professional development days/teacher | 2.8 days | Down from 12.8 days | 11.7 days | 12.4 days |
| District |  |  |  |  |
| Superintendent's years at district | 1.0 | Up from 0.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 8.4 to 1 | Down from 17.5 to 1 | 18.8 to 1 | 21.7 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 91.7\% | Up from 91.3\% | 90.0\% | 89.9\% |
| Dollars spent per pupil** | \$11,108 | Down 3.5\% | \$10,913 | \$8,866 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** | 51.1\% | Up from 43.1\% | 48.2\% | 53.1\% |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction** | 53.7\% | Up from 47.7\% | 51.4\% | 55.9\% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Good | No Change | Good | Excellent |
| Number of schools | 3 | No Change | 4 | 9 |
| Number of magnet schools | 0 | No Change | 0 | 0 |
| Portable classrooms | 0.0\% | Down from 25.7\% | 2.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Average age in years of school facilities | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Number of schools with SACS accreditation | 3.0 | No Change | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| Parents attending conferences | 27.5\% | Down from 93.0\% | 96.6\% | 99.5\% |
| Average administrator salary | \$80,854 | Up 5.5\% | \$71,406 | \$77,744 |

[^0]** Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance By Student Groups

|  | HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012 |  | End of Course Tests Passage Rate |  | On-time Graduation Rate, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | \% | t | \% | n | \% |
| All Students | 55 | 81.8\% | 186 | 55.4\% | 61 | 72.1\% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 20 | 75.0\% | 102 | 54.9\% | 25 | 60.0\% |
| Female | 35 | 85.7\% | 84 | 56.0\% | 36 | 80.6\% |
| Racial/Ethnic Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| African American | 54 | 81.5\% | 177 | 53.7\% | 59 | 72.9\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Disability Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disabled | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Migrant Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| English Proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subsidized meals | 51 | 80.4\% | 159 | 52.2\% | 53 | 71.7\% |
| NOTE: $\mathrm{n}=$ number of students on | rcentage | di; t=numb | sts taken |  |  |  |

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012

|  | Our District | Districts with Students Like Ours |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percent | $81.8 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ |

College Admissions Tests

| SAT | Critical Reading |  |  | Math |  | Writing |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2012 |  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 20 |  | 2011 | 2012 |
| District | 375 | 391 |  | 390 | 373 | 375 |  |  | 1140 | 1161 |
| State | 479 | 477 |  | 489 | 487 | 459 |  |  | 1427 | 1422 |
| Nation | 493 | 491 |  | 506 | 505 | 482 |  |  | 1481 | 1477 |
| ACT | English |  | Math |  | Reading |  | Science |  | Total |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 |
| District | 12.1 | 13.7 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 13.8 | 15.2 | 14.6 | 15.0 | 14.2 | 15.0 |
| State | 19.0 | 19.1 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 20.1 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.9 |
| Nation | 20.6 | 20.5 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 21.1 |

School District Governance

| Board Membership | 5 trustees elected to single-member seats |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fiscal Authority | District Board/Referendum |
| Average Number of Hours of Training Annually | 29.4 per board member |
| Percent New Trustees Completing Orientation | N/A |

## District Superintendent's Report

As we prepare for the 2012-2013 school year, we recognize that setting clear standards, reporting test scores, graduation rate, and all other data on school performances are critical steps of accountability. Over the past year, the district enacted and continued the use of several reforms and programs that affect student learning. To be effective, accountability cannot stand alone. Structure and a willingness to meaningfully intervene in the total developmental program for students and achievement are highly necessary. Effective systems and measurable goals that better support students and education in the challenging area of accountability are also very critical. When students are accountable to teachers, teachers to principals, principals to directors and superintendent, and superintendent to school board, accountability changes what we do.

We recognize that our report clearly stands on its own merit. The district has provided professional development and support for the entire faculty and staff. Expectations for the new year are equally as high as they have been for the previous year. Much diligence and dedication have demonstrated that team work has been implemented with fidelity in our schools. Significant changes in core areas have been particularly noticeable and differences are being made to improve. We approach the new school year with tremendous enthusiasm that we will consistently exceed our previous performances in student achievement, accountability and attendance. We continue to be committed to excellence and a supportive work environment. We cannot and will not under estimate the value of our human capital: our teachers, students, support staff, administrators, and school board, and their contributions to the overall success of the district.

We have come to depend on insightful observations, analysis of data, sustained professional development and the willingness to spend long hours in charting the course for improvement as we expect to demonstrate success in all areas of achievement. The new year is yet another opportunity to increase. We embrace the future with a renewed commitment to make a difference in the lives of our students and we believe that academic excellence is achievable.

Dr. Thelma F. Sojourner, Superintendent

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System
South Carolina uses new Annual Measures of Objectives (AMOs) that are based on actual school performance as measured by student test scores on the state standards assessments and end-of-course exams.

| Overall Weighted Points Total | 57.3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Overall Grade Conversion | F |
| Points Total - Elementary Grades | 27.4 |
| Points Total - Middle Grades | 90.3 |
| Points Total - High School Grades | 44.6 |


| Index Score | Grade | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $90-100$ | A | Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations. |
| $80-89.9$ | B | Performance exceeds the state's expectations. |
| $70-79.9$ | C | Performance meets the state's expectations. |
| $60-69.9$ | D | Performance does not meet the state's expectations. |
| Less than 60 | F | Performance is substantially below the state's expectations |

## Accountability Indicator (Title I Schools)

The Bamberg 2 School District consists of 3 public schools with 1 of these schools, or $33.3 \%$, in improvement status.

| School | Status |
| :--- | :--- |
| Denmark-Olar Elementary | Priority |

## Accountability Indicator Definitions

Title I Reward Schools for Performance are among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
Title I Reward Schools for Progress are Title I schools that demonstrates the most substantial progress in identified subgroups of students.

Title I Focus Schools are Title I schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
Title I Priority Schools are the $5 \%$ lowest performing Title I schools.

## Performance By Group

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grades 3-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Students | 624.6 | 602.7 | 583.0 | 601.6 | 95.3 | 98.5 |
| Male | 610.8 | 594.0 | 577.9 | 594.2 | 95.0 | 99.4 |
| Female | 639.6 | 612.5 | 589.0 | 609.0 | 95.5 | 97.7 |
| White | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| African American | 623.8 | 601.9 | 582.4 | 602.0 | 95.4 | 98.8 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 83.3 | 83.3 |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Disabled | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 77.8 | 98.2 |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Subsidized meals | 623.7 | 600.7 | 581.7 | 600.2 | 94.9 | 98.4 |
| Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) | 630.0 | 630.0 | 630.0 | 630.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 |


| Grades 6-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 618.5 | 618.7 | 589.4 | 597.7 | 95.3 | 98.5 |
| Male | 614.3 | 615.3 | 591.8 | 596.8 | 95.0 | 99.4 |
| Female | 621.8 | 621.4 | 587.5 | 598.4 | 95.5 | 97.7 |
| White | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| African American | 618.1 | 618.0 | 588.9 | 597.4 | 95.4 | 98.8 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 83.3 | 83.3 |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Disabled | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 77.8 | 98.2 |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Subsidized meals | 617.3 | 618.0 | 588.9 | 597.4 | 94.9 | 98.4 |
| Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) | 624.0 | 624.0 | 624.0 | 624.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 |
| Grades 9-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Students | 223 | 216 | 65 | 62 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Male | 221 | 214 | 66 | 63 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female | 226 | 219 | 65 | 62 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| White | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| African American | 223 | 216 | 65 | 62 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | 206 | 208 | N/A | 66 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 198 | 192 | 58 | 64 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 206 | 208 | N/A | 66 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Subsidized meals | 222 | 216 | 64 | 62 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) | 223 | 220 | 76 | 71 | 95 | 95 |

Abbreviations for Missing Data

PASS Performance By Grade Level

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{9} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \sum_{0}^{\infty} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{0}^{+0}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | 3 | 62 | 98.4 | 49.2 | 31.1 | 19.7 | 50.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 4 | 58 | 93.1 | 34.6 | 44.2 | 21.2 | 65.4 |
|  | 5 | 66 | 98.5 | 44.4 | 39.7 | 15.9 | 55.6 |
| © | 6 | 61 | 96.7 | 55.2 | 34.5 | 10.3 | 44.8 |
|  | 7 | 59 | 96.6 | 64.3 | 25 | 10.7 | 35.7 |
|  | 8 | 52 | 94.2 | 53.2 | 38.3 | 8.5 | 46.8 |
|  | 3 | 54 | 88.9 | 58.7 | 23.9 | 17.4 | 41.3 |
| (N | 4 | 56 | 89.3 | 53.1 | 38.8 | 8.2 | 46.9 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 98 | 35.4 | 41.7 | 22.9 | 64.6 |
| ( | 6 | 59 | 98.3 | 53.6 | 30.4 | 16.1 | 46.4 |
|  | 7 | 58 | 100 | 40 | 38.2 | 21.8 | 60 |
|  | 8 | 63 | 96.8 | 53.6 | 35.7 | 10.7 | 46.4 |


|  | 3 | 62 | 98.4 | 82 | 16.4 | 1.6 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Sigma$ | 4 | 58 | 98.3 | 59.3 | 35.2 | 5.6 | 40.7 |
| E | 5 | 66 | 98.5 | 52.4 | 44.4 | 3.2 | 47.6 |
| $\boldsymbol{N}$ | 6 | 61 | 96.7 | 70.7 | 24.1 | 5.2 | 29.3 |
|  | 7 | 59 | 96.6 | 76.8 | 19.6 | 3.6 | 23.2 |
|  | 8 | 52 | 94.2 | 48.9 | 42.6 | 8.5 | 51.1 |
|  | 3 | 54 | 98.2 | 84.3 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 15.7 |
| (N | 4 | 56 | 100 | 65.5 | 25.5 | 9.1 | 34.5 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 98 | 58.3 | 33.3 | 8.3 | 41.7 |
| - | 6 | 59 | 98.3 | 51.8 | 35.7 | 12.5 | 48.2 |
|  | 7 | 58 | 100 | 40 | 38.2 | 21.8 | 60 |
|  | 8 | 63 | 96.8 | 64.3 | 30.4 | 5.4 | 35.7 |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 29 | 96.6 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 0 | 7.1 |
| T | 4 | 58 | 98.3 | 64.8 | 33.3 | 1.9 | 35.2 |
|  | 5 | 34 | 97.1 | 51.6 | 48.4 | 0 | 48.4 |
| (N | 6 | 30 | 96.7 | 65.5 | 34.5 | 0 | 34.5 |
|  | 7 | 59 | 96.6 | 73.2 | 23.2 | 3.6 | 26.8 |
|  | 8 | 25 | 100 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0 | 33.3 |
|  | 3 | 26 | 96.2 | 83.3 | 16.7 | 0 | 16.7 |
| N | 4 | 56 | 100 | 65.5 | 34.5 | 0 | 34.5 |
|  | 5 | 24 | 100 | 41.7 | 54.2 | 4.2 | 58.3 |
| C | 6 | 29 | 96.6 | 57.1 | 39.3 | 3.6 | 42.9 |
|  | 7 | 57 | 98.3 | 56.6 | 30.2 | 13.2 | 43.4 |
|  | 8 | 30 | 96.7 | 66.7 | 29.6 | 3.7 | 33.3 |

PASS Performance By Grade Level

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\otimes}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ o | $\sum_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{D}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 32 | 100 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0 | 37.5 |
| - | 4 | 58 | 98.3 | 46.3 | 51.9 | 1.9 | 53.7 |
| 巨 | 5 | 33 | 100 | 63.6 | 24.2 | 12.1 | 36.4 |
| - | 6 | 29 | 86.2 | 66.7 | 29.2 | 4.2 | 33.3 |
|  | 7 | 59 | 96.6 | 78.6 | 21.4 | 0 | 21.4 |
|  | 8 | 26 | 92.3 | 65.2 | 21.7 | 13 | 34.8 |
| $\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N}}$ | 3 | 27 | 100 | 80.8 | 15.4 | 3.8 | 19.2 |
|  | 4 | 56 | 100 | 49.1 | 49.1 | 1.8 | 50.9 |
|  | 5 | 26 | 96.2 | 41.7 | 37.5 | 20.8 | 58.3 |
|  | 6 | 30 | 96.7 | 40.7 | 59.3 | 0 | 59.3 |
|  | 7 | 57 | 100 | 61.1 | 29.6 | 9.3 | 38.9 |
|  | 8 | 31 | 100 | 71.4 | 21.4 | 7.1 | 28.6 |
| Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\Gamma}$ | 3 | N/A | N/AV | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
|  | 4 | N/A | N/AV | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
|  | 5 | 66 | 93.9 | 26.7 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 73.3 |
|  | 6 | N/A | N/AV | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
|  | 7 | N/A | N/AV | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
|  | 8 | 51 | 98 | 44.9 | 40.8 | 14.3 | 55.1 |
| $\stackrel{N}{\Gamma}$ | 3 | N/A | N/AV | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
|  | 4 | N/A | N/AV | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
|  | 5 | 51 | 96.1 | 42.9 | 32.7 | 24.5 | 57.1 |
|  | 6 | N/A | N/AV | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
|  | 7 | N/A | N/AV | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
|  | 8 | 61 | 95.1 | 34.5 | 47.3 | 18.2 | 65.5 |

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English/Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ 73 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.1 \\ & 97.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.1 \\ & 10.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.5 \\ & 50.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.9 \\ & 29.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ 9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.1 \\ & 53.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Students | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ 73 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.1 \\ & 98.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.4 \\ & 27.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.6 \\ & 32.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.6 \\ & 36.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.4 \\ & 44.1 \end{aligned}$ |

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance

|  | Our District | State |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | N/A | $2.6 \%$ |
| Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | $5.2 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |


|  | Our District | State Objective | Met State Objective |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | $5.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | No |
| Student attendance rate, grades K-8 | $98.3 \%$ | $94.0 \%{ }^{*}$ | Yes |
| *Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance. |  |  |  |
| ** Or greater than last year |  |  |  |


[^0]:    * Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

